arXiv:hep-th/0207072v1 8 Jul 2002

Leading Log Corrections to Bekenstein-Hawking Entropy

Saurya Das
Dept. of Mathematics and Statistics,
University of New Brunswick,
Fredericton, New Brunswick- E3B 5A3, CANADA
EMail: saurya@math.unb.ca

We show that the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy associated with any black hole undergoes logarithmic corrections when small thermodynamic fluctuations around equilibrium are taken into account. Thus, the corrected expression for black hole entropy is given by $S = A/4 - k\ln(A)$, where A is the horizon area and k is a constant which depends on the specific black hole. We apply our result to BTZ black hole, for which $k = 3/2$, as found earlier, as well as to anti-de Sitter- Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordstrom black hole in arbitrary spacetime dimensions. Finally, we examine the role of conformal field theory in black hole entropy and its corrections.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that for large black holes, the associated Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is proportional to its horizon area [1–5]. There has been attempts to calculate the leading order corrections to this entropy as one reduces the size of the black hole, using different approaches. Remarkably, in each case this correction was found to be of the form $-k\ln(A)$ [6–15]. Is there an underlying reason for this generic logarithmic correction? It was shown in [16] that logarithmic corrections to thermodynamic entropy arise in *all* thermodynamic systems when small stable fluctuations around equilibrium are taken into account. The stability condition is equivalent to the specific heat being positive, so that the corresponding canonical ensemble is stable. When applied to black holes, they indeed predict the form $-k\ln(A)$. Also, it was shown in [16] as to how these log corrections (including correct coefficients) can result from a microscopic theory of quantum gravity. In this talk, we will summarize the above results. Note that we still consider the black holes to be large compared to the Planck scale. This ensures that the logarithmic terms are indeed much smaller compared to the Bekenstein-Hawking term.

Let us begin by considering a canonical ensemble with partition function

$$Z(\beta) = \int_0^\infty \rho(E) e^{-\beta E} dE \quad , \tag{1}$$

where $T = 1/\beta$ is the temperature in units of the Boltzmann constant k_B. The density of states can be obtained from (1) by doing an inverse Laplace transform (keeping E fixed) [17,18]:

$$\rho(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} Z(\beta) e^{\beta E} d\beta = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} e^{S(\beta)} d\beta \quad , \tag{2}$$

where

$$S(\beta) = \ln Z(\beta) + \beta E \tag{3}$$

is the *exact* entropy as a function of temperature, not just its value at equilibrium (which is the sum of entropies of subsystems of the thermodynamical system, which are themselves in internal equilibrium). The complex integral can be performed by the method of steepest descent around the saddle point $\beta_0 (= 1/T_0, T_0$ being the equilibrium temperature), such that $S_0' := (\partial S(\beta)/\partial\beta)_{\beta=\beta_0} = 0$. Note that the usual equilibrium relation $E = -(\partial \ln Z(\beta)/\partial\beta)_{\beta=\beta_0}$ is obeyed. Expanding $S(\beta)$ about $\beta = \beta_0$, we get

$$S = S_0 + \frac{1}{2}(\beta - \beta_0)^2 S_0'' + \cdots \quad , \tag{4}$$

where $S_0 := S(\beta_0)$ and $S_0'' := (\partial^2 S(\beta)/\partial\beta^2)_{\beta=\beta_0}$. Substituting (4) in (2) :

$$\rho(E) = \frac{e^{S_0}}{2\pi i}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} e^{1/2(\beta-\beta_0)^2 S_0''} d\beta \ . \tag{5}$$

By choosing $c = \beta_0$, and putting $(\beta - \beta_0) = ix$, where x is a real variable, we obtain, for $S_0'' > 0$,

$$\rho(E) = \frac{e^{S_0}}{\sqrt{2\pi S_0''}} \quad . \tag{6}$$

Note that the density of states $\rho(E)$ and S_0'' have dimensions of inverse energy and energy squared respectively. Henceforth, we set the Boltzmann constant k_B to unity. The logarithm of the density of states $\rho(E)$ is then the microcanonical entropy

$$\mathcal{S} := \ln\rho(E) = S_0 - \frac{1}{2}\ln S_0'' + \text{ (higher order terms).} \tag{7}$$

Here $\mathcal{S}$ is the corrected microcanonical entropy *at equilibrium*, obtained by incorporating small fluctuations around thermal equilibrium. This is different from the function $S(\beta)$, which is the entropy at any temperature (and not just at equilibrium). When applied to black holes, S_0 is identified with the Bekenstein-Hawking area law. It is seen that the correction solely depends on the quantity S_0''. Moreover, the second term in Eq.(7) appears with a negative sign, as conjectured in general in [7] from the holographic point of view. Now, we will estimate S_0'', without assuming any specific form of $S(\beta)$. From Eq.(3), it follows that

$$S''(\beta) = \frac{1}{Z}(\frac{\partial^2 Z(\beta)}{\partial\beta^2}) - \frac{1}{Z^2}(\frac{\partial Z}{\partial\beta})^2 \ . \tag{8}$$

This means that S_0'' is nothing but the fluctuation squared of energy from the equilibrium, i.e.,

$$S_0'' =< E^2 > - < E >^2 \quad , \tag{9}$$

where, by the definition of β_0, $E =< E >= -(\partial\ln Z/\partial\beta)_{\beta=\beta_0}$. It immediately follows that

$$S_0'' = T^2 C \tag{10}$$

where $C \equiv (\partial E/\partial T)_{T_0}$ is the dimensionless specific heat. The above result also follows from a detailed fluctuation analysis of a stable thermodynamic system [19].

Substituting for S_0'' from (10) in (7), we get:

$$\mathcal{S} = \ln\rho = S_0 - \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(C\ T^2\right) + \cdots \tag{11}$$

We will now apply this formula to black holes. For dimensional correctness, it is understood that the quantity within the logarithm is divided by k_B^2. Naturally, we would replace $T \to T_H$, the Hawking temperature. Then we calculate C for the specific black holes. It can be shown that (11) is valid so long as the Hawking temperature exceeds inverse Planck length L_{Pl} [16] :

$$T >> \frac{1}{L_{Pl}} \quad . \tag{12}$$

Thus, it is no longer valid near the extremal limit. This is expected since near extremal black holes are highly quantum objects [20,21].

II. APPLICATIONS

A. BTZ Black Hole

First let us consider a non-rotating BTZ black hole in 3-dimensions with metric [22]:

$$ds^2 = -\left(\frac{r^2}{\ell^2} - 8G_3M\right)dt^2 + \left(\frac{r^2}{\ell^2} - 8G_3M\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 \quad . \tag{13}$$

Its Bekenstein-Hawking entropy and Hawking temperature are given by

$$S_0 = \frac{2\pi r_+}{4G_3} \tag{14}$$

$$T_H = \frac{r_+}{2\pi\ell^2} = \left[\frac{G_3}{\pi^2\ell^2}\right] S_0 \tag{15}$$

where $r_+ = \sqrt{8G_3M}\ell$ is horizon radius (G_3 = 3-dimensional Newton's constant), M being mass of the black hole and ℓ is related to the cosmological constant by: $\Lambda = -1/\ell^2$. The first law in this case is:

$$dM = T_H dS_0 \ . \tag{16}$$

Thus, the specific heat is :

$$C = \frac{dM}{dT_H} = S_0 = \left[\frac{\pi^2\ell^2}{G_3}\right] T_H \tag{17}$$

Plugging in (15) and (17) in (11), we get.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} = \ln\rho &= S_0 - \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(S_0 S_0^2\right) + \cdots \\ &= S_0 - \frac{3}{2}\ln S_0 + \cdots \ . \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Thus, the correction term is proportional to the logarithm of horizon area with proportionality constant $-3/2$ is exactly that found in [9]. Introduction of angular momentum is straightforward, and it can be shown that for rotating BTZ black holes far away from extremality, the entropy is [16]:

$$\mathcal{S} = \ln\rho = S_0 - \frac{3}{2}\ln S_0 - \frac{(J^2/M^{3/2})}{2S_0} + \cdots \quad . \tag{19}$$

B. AdS-Schwarzschild Black Hole

Since Schwarzschild black holes have negative specific heat, they are unstable against thermal fluctuations [23,24]. Thus (11) is inapplicable. Instead, we will consider its close cousin: anti-de Sitter-Schwarzschild black holes.

We parametrize the cosmological constant in d-dimensions in terms of the length scale ℓ as: $\Lambda = -(d-1)(d-2)/2\ell^2$. The corresponding Einstein's equations admit of black holes solutions which are asymptotically anti-de Sitter and whose metric is given by:

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{16\pi G_d M}{(d-2)\Omega_{d-2} r^{d-3}} + \frac{r^2}{\ell^2}\right)dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{16\pi G_d M}{(d-2)\Omega_{d-2} r^{d-3}} + \frac{r^2}{\ell^2}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_{d-2}^2 \quad . \tag{20}$$

Here the parameter M is the conserved charge associated with the timelike Killing vector ('mass') [25]. The entropy and Hawking temperature are given by:

$$S_0 = \frac{\Omega_{d-2} r_+^{d-2}}{4G_d} \tag{21}$$

$$T_H = \frac{(d-1)r_+^2 + (d-3)\ell^2}{4\pi\ell^2 r_+} = \left[\frac{d-3}{4\pi}\left(\frac{\Omega_{d-2}}{4G_d}\right)^{1/(d-2)}\right]\left[1 + \frac{(d-1)r_+^2}{(d-3)\ell^2}\right] S_0^{-1/(d-2)} \quad . \tag{22}$$

Although the function $r_+ = r_+(M)$ is not explicit, C can still be calculated using :

$$C = \frac{(d-2)\Omega_{d-2} r_+^{d-3}}{4G_d}\left[\frac{\partial \ln T_H}{\partial r_+}\right] \quad ,$$

which yields [26] :

$$C = \left[(d-2)\frac{(d-1)r_+^2/\ell^2 + (d-3)}{(d-1)r_+^2/\ell^2 - (d-3)}\right] S_0 \quad . \tag{23}$$

Note that the limit $\ell \to \infty$ reproduces the (negative) Schwarzschild value of C. However, for

$$\ell < r_+\sqrt{\frac{d-1}{d-3}} \quad , \tag{24}$$

C changes signature and becomes positive. In the limit of large Λ ($\ell \to 0$), C approaches a positive finite value:

$$C = (d-2)S_0 \quad . \tag{25}$$

Consequently, the canonical ensemble becomes stable against small fluctuations around equilibrium [24]. In other words, introduction of a negative cosmological constant remedies the otherwise unstable Schwarzschild canonical ensemble. Let us calculate the leading order corrections in this limit, although one can do so for any ℓ satisfying (24). From (11), (22) and (25), we get:

$$\mathcal{S} = S_0 - \frac{d}{2(d-2)}\ln S_0 + \cdots \tag{26}$$

Thus, once again the leading corrections are logarithmic. It is clear that logarithmic corrections result for all values of ℓ lying in the range (24). Also note from (24) that for black hole masses much greater than the Planck mass, r_+ is huge and the range of $|\Lambda|$ corresponding to $C > 0$ is given by the expression:

$$|\Lambda| > \frac{(d-2)(d-3)}{2r_+^2} \approx 0 \quad . \tag{27}$$

This means that the introduction of a very small negative cosmological constant is sufficient to make the present formalism applicable.

C. Reissner-Nordström Black Hole

Finally, for a d-dimensional Reissner-Nordström black hole with metric [27]

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = &-\left(1 - \frac{16\pi M}{(d-2)\Omega_{d-2} r^{d-3}} + \frac{16\pi Q^2}{(d-2)(d-3)r^{2(d-3)}}\right) dt^2 \\ &+ \left(1 - \frac{16\pi M}{(d-2)\Omega_{d-2} r^{d-3}} + \frac{16\pi Q^2}{(d-2)(d-3)r^{2(d-3)}}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_{d-2}^2 \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

the entropy and temperature are given by:

$$S_0 = \frac{\Omega_{d-2}\ r_+^{d-2}}{4G_d} \tag{29}$$

$$T_H = \frac{(d-3)}{2\pi\ r_+^{d-2}} \sqrt{\left(\frac{8\pi G_d M}{(d-2)\Omega_{d-2}}\right)^2 - \frac{2G_d Q^2}{(d-2)(d-3)}} \tag{30}$$

where Q is the electric charge. Using the formula for horizon radius:

$$r_+^{d-3} = \frac{8\pi G_d M}{(d-2)\Omega_{d-2}} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{8\pi G_d M}{(d-2)\Omega_{d-2}}\right)^2 - \frac{2G_d Q^2}{(d-2)(d-3)}}\ , \tag{31}$$

the specific heat is :

$$C = \left(\frac{d-2}{d-3}\right) S_0\ F_2(M,Q) \tag{32}$$

and the temperature far away from extremality ($r_+ >> r_-$):

$$T_H = \left[\frac{d-3}{4\pi}\left(\frac{\Omega_{d-2}}{4G_d}\right)^{1/(d-2)}\right] S_0^{-1/(d-2)}\ F_1(M,Q) \tag{33}$$

where

$$F_1(M,Q) = 1 - 2G_d\left(\frac{d-2}{d-3}\frac{\Omega_{d-2}Q}{16\pi G_d M}\right)^2$$

$$F_2(M,Q) = \frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{8\pi G_d M}{(d-2)\Omega_{d-2}}\right)^2 - \frac{2G_d Q^2}{(d-2)(d-3)}}}{\frac{8\pi G_d M}{(d-2)\Omega_{d-2}} - \frac{(d-2)}{(d-3)}\sqrt{\left(\frac{8\pi G_d M}{(d-2)\Omega_{d-2}}\right)^2 - \frac{2G_d Q^2}{(d-2)(d-3)}}}\ .$$

It can be shown that in the range:

$$\left[\frac{2(d-2)\Omega_d^2}{(8\pi)^2(d-3)G_d}\right] Q^2 < M^2 < \left[\frac{2(d-2)^3\Omega_d^2}{(8\pi)^2(2d-5)G_d}\right] Q^2\ , \tag{34}$$

$C > 0$, i.e. the black hole is thermodynamically stable, and the leading order entropy correction from (11) is:

$$\mathcal{S} = S_0 - \frac{(d-4)}{2(d-2)}\ln S_0 + \cdots\ . \tag{35}$$

It is interesting to note that the fluctuation corrections in this case vanish for $d = 4$.

Since the string theoretic black holes in 5 and in 4 dimensions, whose entropy was reproduced by D-brane state counting, fall into the class of Reissner-Nordström black holes, we do not consider them separately.

III. ENTROPY FORMULA FROM MICROSCOPICS

We saw in the above, that to calculate the entropy corrections, an exact entropy formula $S(\beta)$ was not required. However, now we would like to investigate as to what kind of functions would be sufficient to reproduce the above correction, as that might shed some light on the nature of microscopic theory governing black hole dynamics.

Let us return to Eq. (7), which as mentioned before, predicts leading correction to the equilibrium entropy in terms of S_0'', the second derivative of the *exact* entropy function $S(\beta)$, evaluated at the equilibrium temperature β_0. As stated before, if we wish to specialize to black holes, we must first make the following identifications:

$$\beta_0 = \frac{1}{T_H} \quad , \tag{36}$$

$$S_0 = S(\beta_0) = \frac{A_H}{4G_d} \quad , \tag{37}$$

where the first relation follows from the assumption that the black hole is at equilibrium at Hawking temperature. It is clear that this set of information is inadequate to determine the functional form of S_0'', which is independent of both S_0 and β_0. Geometrically, the quantities β_0 and S_0 represent the abscissa and ordinate of the extremum of the parabola which approximates the actual curve near extremum. The slope S_0'' on the other hand is still an independent quantity.

To determine S_0'', we may assume any specific form of the function $S(\beta)$, which can be well approximated by the parabolic form (4). The only stipulation is that such a form must admit of an extremum at some value β_0 of β. If we assume an underlying CFT, then from modular invariance of the partition functions of $(1+1)$-dimensional conformal field theories it follows that $S(\beta)$ is of the following form (see argument of exponential function in Eq(2.7) in [9]):

$$S(\beta) = a\beta + \frac{b}{\beta} \tag{38}$$

where a, b are constants. However, we will choose a more general form:

$$S(\beta) = a\beta^m + \frac{b}{\beta^n} \tag{39}$$

where $m, n, a/b > 0$. We will show later that (39) is also consistent with assumptions made in the context of Euclidean black hole thermodynamics [23]. The above expression has an extremum at

$$\beta_0 = \left(\frac{nb}{ma}\right)^{1/(m+n)} \equiv \frac{1}{T_H} \quad . \tag{40}$$

Expanding around β_0, by computing the second derivative, we get:

$$S(\beta) = \alpha \ \left(a^n b^m\right)^{1/(m+n)} + \frac{1}{2}\,\gamma \left(a^{n+2} b^{m-2}\right)^{1/(m+n)} \ (\beta - \beta_0)^2 + \cdots \ , \tag{41}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= (n/m)^{m/(m+n)} + (m/n)^{n/(m+n)} \\ \gamma &= (m+n) m^{(n+2)/(m+n)} n^{(m-2)/(m+n)} \end{aligned}$$

are constants. Comparing with (4), we find:

$$S_0 = \alpha \ \left(a^n b^m\right)^{1/(m+n)} \quad , \tag{42}$$

$$S_0'' = \gamma \ \left(a^{n+2} b^{m-2}\right)^{1/(m+n)} \quad . \tag{43}$$

Next, we invert these equations to get a, b in terms of S_0 and S_0'' :

$$a = \frac{\alpha^{(m-2)/2}}{\gamma^{m/2}} \ (S_0'')^{m/2}\, S_0^{-(m-2)/2} \tag{44}$$

$$b = \left[\frac{\alpha^{(n+2)/2}}{\gamma}\right]^{-n/2} S_0^{(n+2)/2}\,(S_0'')^{-n/2} \quad . \tag{45}$$

These values of a and b can now be substituted in (40) to obtain:

$$\beta_0 = \frac{1}{T_H} = \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{1/(m+n)} \sqrt{\frac{S_0}{S_0''}\ \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} \quad . \tag{46}$$

The last relation can be inverted to express S_0'' in terms of S_0 and T_H :

$$S_0'' = \left[\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right)\ \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{2/(m+n)}\right] S_0 T_H^2 \ . \tag{47}$$

The above relation completely specifies S_0'' (and hence entropy corrections) in terms of Bekenstein-Hawking entropy and Hawking temperature, and is a direct consequence of our assumed form of exact entropy function (39). Again, the factors in square brackets are independent of black hole parameters and can be dropped. Substituting in (7), we get:

$$\mathcal{S} = \ln \rho = S_0 - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(S_0 T_H^2\right) + \text{smaller terms} \tag{48}$$

Note that the leading order correction is independent of m, n and the latter has an effect only in the sub-leading terms.

Let us examine the implications for various black holes. From (17), we see that $S_0 = C$ for BTZ. Thus, the correction (48) becomes identical to (11) ! Thus, the general form of the function $S(\beta)$ in (39) is sufficient to arrive at this correction, and not just the specific $m = 1 = n$ case as dictated from CFT. This makes the correction quite robust.

Again for Schwarzschild-anti-de Sitter black holes, in the positive specific heat domain (24), it follows from (23) that $C = (d-2)S_0$ to leading order. Then, from (48), we get:

$$\mathcal{S} = \ln \rho = S_0 - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(C T_H^2\right) + \cdots \tag{49}$$

which agrees with the fluctuation correction formula (11). Hence, the logarithmic correction term will have identical coefficient as in (26). The generalization to charged black holes is also straightforward.

It may be noted that to demonstrate the instability of Schwarzschild black holes, it was assumed in [23] that the exact entropy function $S(\beta)$ is obtained from the equilibrium formula (i.e. the area law) $S(\beta_0)$, by simply substituting $\beta_0 \to \beta$. While this is sufficient for the area law to be valid at Hawking temperature, it is certainly not necessary. However, we show here that the above assumption is consistent with the entropy function (39) that we chose in the last section.

Again consider BTZ black holes. Using (15) and $\beta_0 = 1/T_H$, we get at equilibrium :

$$S(\beta_0) = \frac{\pi^2 \ell^2}{G_3} \frac{1}{\beta_0} \ . \tag{50}$$

Following [23] if we assume that the above continues to hold for any β, then we get :

$$S(\beta) = \frac{\pi^2 \ell^2}{G_3} \frac{1}{\beta} \ . \tag{51}$$

Also from (15) and the expression $r_+ = \sqrt{8 G_3 M} \ell$, we can write:

$$\beta_0 = \frac{\pi \ell}{\sqrt{2 G_3 M}} \ . \tag{52}$$

This implies that for BTZ black hole mass much greater than the Planck mass, $1/\beta_0 \gg \beta_0$. Also for $M/M_{Pl} \to \infty$, let us assume that the above inequality holds for temperatures slightly away from equilibrium (since for truly macroscopic black holes, fluctuations are expected to be small). Then, from Eq.(39) it follows that :

$$\lim_{M/M_{Pl} \to \infty} S(\beta) = \frac{b}{\beta^n} \ . \tag{53}$$

Comparing (51) and (53) we find:

$$\begin{aligned} & n = 1 \\ \& \quad & b = \frac{(\pi \ell)^2}{G_3} \ . \end{aligned}$$

In other words, the assumed relation (53) is perfectly consistent with the our general chosen form (39) in the limit of macroscopic black holes. This can also be seen from the fact that if (53) holds with $n = 1$, then it implies $S_0'' \sim \beta^{-3} \sim S_0^3$, which using (7) in turn implies the required corrected entropy

$$\mathcal{S} = S_0 - \frac{3}{2} \ln S_0 + \cdots$$

Similar conclusions follow for AdS-Schwarzschild black hole in d-dimensions. For details, see [16].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

To summarise, we have shown here that small fluctuations around equilibrium give rise to logarithmic corrections to the thermodynamic entropy of a system. When applied to black holes, they are of the form $-k \ln(A)$. For BTZ black holes, we showed that $k = 3/2$, as found earlier in several other approaches. We also calculated k for AdS-Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordström black holes for all spacetime dimensions. Finally, we explored certain exact entropy functions, which may naturally arise in microscopic theories of black holes, and which give rise to identical entropy corrections.

It is interesting to note that under certain assumptions, when black holes are thought to be composed of mutually non-interacting but distinguishable particles in the *grand canonical ensemble* with fluctuating particle number, the entropy of the resultant system turns out to be proportional to area, followed by log area term [28], although in the latter case the log correction occurs with a positive sign. Also, it might be useful to understand the implications of our corrections for the holographic hypothesis. We hope to report on it in the future.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank P. Majumdar and R. K. Bhaduri for numerous fruitful discussions, correspondence and for collaboration, on which paper [16] was based; G. Kunstatter for useful discussions; S. Carlip and V. Husain for useful correspondence which included several interesting comments and suggestions; A. Dasgupta for bringing to his attention refs. [26] and J. Bland for comments. This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

---

[1] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. **D7** (1973) 2333; S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. **D13** (1976) 191.
[2] A. Strominger, C. Vafa, Phys. Lett. **B379** (1996) 99 (hep-th/9601029).
[3] A. Ashtekar, J. Baez, A. Corichi, K. Krasnov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80** (1998) 905 (gr-qc/9710007);
[4] S. Carlip, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82** (1999) 2828 (hep-th/9812013).
[5] S. N. Solodukhin, Phys. Lett. **B454** (1999) 213 (hep-th/9812056).
[6] R. K. Kaul and P. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84** (2000) 5255 (gr-qc/0002040).
[7] S. Das, R. K. Kaul and P. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. **D 63** (2001) 044019 (hep-th/0006211); P. Majumdar, Pramana **55** (2000) 511 (hep-th/0009008), hep-th/0011284, hep-th/0110198.
[8] D. Fursaev, Phys. Rev. **D51** (1995) 5352 (hep-th/9412161).
[9] S. Carlip, Class. Quant. Grav. **17** (2000) 4175 (gr-qc/0005017).
[10] T. R. Govindarajan, R. K. Kaul, V. Suneeta, Class. Quant. Grav. **18** (2001) 2877 (gr-qc/0104010).
[11] R. B. Mann, S. N. Solodukhin, Nucl. Phys. **B523** (1998) 293 (hep-th/9709064); A. J. M. Medved, G. Kunstatter, Phys. Rev. **D60** (1999) 104029 (hep-th/9904070); A. J. M. Medved, G. Kunstatter, Phys. Rev. **D63** (2001) 104005 (hep-th/0009050).
[12] S. N. Solodukhin, Phys. Rev. **D57** (1998) 2410 (hep-th/9701106).
[13] J. Jing, M-L Yan, Phys. Rev. **D63** (2001) 24003 (gr-qc/0005105).
[14] D. Birmingham, S. Sen, Phys. Rev. **D63** (2001) 47501 (hep-th/0008051); D. Birmingham, I. Sachs, S. Sen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D10** (2001) 833 (hep-th/0102155).
[15] K. S. Gupta, S. Sen, Phys. Lett. **526** (2002) 121 (hep-th/0112041), hep-th/0203094; K. S. Gupta, hep-th/0204137.
[16] S. Das, P. Majumdar, R. K. Bhaduri, hep-th/0111011 (to appear in Class. Quant. Grav.).

[17] A. Bohr and B. R. Mottelson, *Nuclear Structure*, Vol.1 (W. A. Benjamin Inc., New York, 1969) pp. 281-283.

[18] R. K. Bhaduri, *Models of the Nucleon*, (Addison-Wesley, 1988), p.116.

[19] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics*, (Pergamon, 1969), Chapter XII.

[20] S. Das, A. Dasgupta, P. Ramadevi, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A12** (1997) 3067 (hep-th/9608162).

[21] A. Barvinski, S. Das, G. Kunstatter, Class. Quant. Grav. **18** (2001) 4845 (gr-qc/0012066); and Phys. Lett. **B517** (2001) 415 (hep-th/0102061).

[22] M. Banados, C. Teitelboim, J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 1849 (hep-th/9204099).

[23] S. W. Hawking, *The Path Integral Approach to Quantum Gravity* in *General Relativity: An Einstein Centenary Survey* eds. S. W. Hawking and W. Israel (Cambridge University Press, 1979); S. W. Hawking *Euclidean Quantum Gravity* in *Recent Developments in Gravitation*, Cargese Lectures, eds. M. Levy & S. Deser (Plenum, 1978).

[24] S. W. Hawking and D. N. Page, Commun. Math. Phys. **87** (1983) 577.

[25] A. Ashtekar, A. Magnon, Class. Quant. Grav. **1** (1984) L39; A. Ashtekar, S. Das, Class. Quant. Grav. **17** (2000) L17 (hep-th/9911230); S. Das, R. B. Mann, JHEP **0008** (2000) 33 (hep-th/0008028).

[26] J. D. Brown, J. Creighton, R. B. Mann, Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994) 6394 (gr-qc/9405007).

[27] R. C. Myers, M. J. Perry, Ann. Phys., NY **172** (1986) 304.

[28] S. A. Major, K. L. Setter, Class. Quant. Grav. **18** (2001) 5125 (gr-qc/0101031); Class. Quant. Grav. **18** (2001) 5293 (gr-qc/0108034).